Polyhydroxylated Sterols from the South China Sea Gorgonian Verrucella umbraculum

by Fei Cao, Chang-Lun Shao, Yu Wang, Kai-Xia Xu, Xin Qi, and Chang-Yun Wang*

Key Laboratory of Marine Drugs, The Ministry of Education of China, School of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, P. R. China (phone/fax: +86-532-82031536, ext. 266003; e-mail: changyun@ouc.edu.cn)

Two new polyhydroxylated sterols, named verumbsteroids A and B (1 and 2, resp.), along with seven known analog, 3-9, were isolated from the gorgonian Verrucella umbraculum collected from the South China Sea. The structures and relative configurations of the new compounds were elucidated by comprehensive spectroscopic investigations. The absolute configuration of 1 was determined by using modified *Mosher* method with the acetonide derivative of 1. Compounds 1 and $3 - 5$ were found to show cytotoxicities against five human tumor cell lines (HL-60, K562, HeLa, A-549, and HCT-116) with the IC_{50} values ranging from 2.76 to 9.62 μ M.

Introduction. – Among the various classes of secondary metabolites produced by the gorgonian corals, a large number of polyhydroxylated sterols have been described [1]. Many of them showed an array of biological features including cytotoxic, Xactivated receptor inhibitory, and anti-inflammatory activities $[2-6]$. The study of structure-activity relationships (SARs) indicated that 3,5,6,7-tetrahydroxy steroids possess significant cytotoxicities [7]. Recently, in the course of our investigation on new bioactive substances from corals collected from the South China Sea $[8-13]$, the gorgonian Verrucella umbraculum attracted our attention, because the crude AcOEt extract showed lethal activity toward the brine shrimp Artemia salina. Chemical investigation on the active extract led to the isolation of two new polyhydroxylated sterols, named verumbsteroids A and B $(1 \text{ and } 2, \text{resp.})$, together with seven known analogs cholestane-3 β ,5 α ,6 β ,7 β -tetrol (3) [4], ergost-24(28)-ene-3 β ,5 α ,6 β ,7 β -tetrol (4) [4], $(24S)$ -ergostane-3 β ,5 α ,6 β ,7 β -tetrol (5) [4], 5 β ,6 β -epoxycholestane-3 β ,7 β -diol (6) [4], $5\beta, 6\beta$ -epoxyergost-24(28)-ene-3 β ,7 β -diol (7) [4], globosterol (8) [5], and 27methyl-24-methylidenecholest-5-ene-3 β ,7 β -diol (9) [6] (*Fig. 1*). Their structures were elucidated by NMR spectroscopic methods and comparison with those previously reported in the literature. Herein, we describe the isolation, structural elucidation, and bioactivity of these metabolites.

Results and Discussion. – Verumbsteroid A (1) was obtained as white, amorphous powder. The molecular formula was determined as $C_{27}H_{46}O_4$ on the basis of positiveion-mode HR-ESI-MS (m/z 417.3356 ($[M + H - H₂O]$ ⁺)), indicating five degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum of 1 showed strong absorptions (\tilde{v}_{max}) at 3420 (OH) and 1647 cm⁻¹ (C=C). In the ¹H-NMR spectrum (*Table 1*), three Me *doublets* (δ (H) 1.02 $(d, J = 6.0, \text{Me}(21)), 0.88 (d, J = 6.5, \text{Me}(26)),$ and 0.88 $(d, J = 6.5, \text{Me}(27)))$ and two Me

^{© 2014} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1 – 9 from Verrucella umbraculum

singlets ($\delta(H)$ 1.14 (s, Me(19)) and 0.73 (s, Me(18))) were attributed to the five Me groups of a steroid. Comparison of the 1 H- and 13 C-NMR data of 1 (*Table 1*) with those of cholestane- 3β ,5 α ,6 β ,7 β -tetrol (3) [4] revealed that 1 shares the same nucleus structure as 3. The main difference was the presence of the typical signals for a $C=C$ bond $(\delta(H)$ 5.22 $(dd, J=15.0, 8.0, H-C(22))$, $\delta(C)$ 139.6 (C(22)) and $\delta(H)$ 5.30 $(dd,$ $J = 15.0, 8.0, H - C(23)$, $\delta(C)$ 127.4 (C(23))) in the side chain of 1, suggesting that the $CH_2(22)$ – $CH_2(23)$ moiety in 3 was replaced by a disubstituted C(22)=(23) bond in 1. Furthermore, the position of the $C = C$ bond was confirmed by the HMBC spectrum of **1**, which showed correlations from $Me(21)$ to $C(22)$, and from $H-C(23)$ to $C(24)$ and $C(25)$. The coupling constant between H–C(22) and H–C(23) ($J = 15.0$) indicated (E) configuration for the C=C bond. A combination of $HMQC$, 1H , 1H -COSY, and $HMBC$ (Fig. 2) experiments enabled us to delineate the structure of 1. Therefore, 1 was identified as the 22,23-didehydro derivative of 3.

The relative configuration of 1 was determined by analysis of its NOE spectrum (Fig. 2). In selective 1D-NOE experiments, irradiation of Me(18) resulted in enhancements of H-C(8), Me(19), and H-C(20) signals, and irradiation of Me(19) resulted in enhancement of the ${\rm H_{{\it \beta}}-C(1)}$ signal, suggesting that these ${\rm H\text{-}atoms}$ all were β -oriented. The irradiation of H–C(3) caused the enhancement of $H_a-C(1)$ signal and the irradiation of H–C(6) also caused the enhancement of $\mathrm{H}_a\mathrm{-C}(1)$ signal. Furthermore, the irradiation of $H-C(7)$ produced the enhancements of $H-C(6)$, $H-C(9)$, and H-C(14) signals. These observations suggested that $H_a-C(1)$, H-C(6), H-C(7), H–C(9), and H–C(14) all had the same α -orientation.

The absolute configuration of 1 was determined using a modified *Mosher* method. Protection of 6,7-diol of 1 by using 2,2-dimethoxypropane and $TsOH \cdot H_2O$ gave the corresponding acetonide derivative 10 of 1 (*Scheme 1*). Treatment of 10 with $(+)$ -(*S*)- α - and (-)-(R)- α -methoxy- α -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride (MTPA-Cl) gave the (R) - and (S) -MTPA esters, 10r and 10s, respectively. The ¹H-NMR signals of the

Position	$1^a)$		$2^b)$		
	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$	
$\mathbf{1}$	$1.34-1.38$ (m) , $1.61-1.63$ (m)	31.8	$1.17 - 1.23$ (<i>m</i>), $1.98 - 2.05$ (<i>m</i>)	36.9	
2	$1.67 - 1.69$ (<i>m</i>), $1.83 - 1.87$ (<i>m</i>)	33.6	$1.39 - 1.43$ (<i>m</i>), $1.81 - 1.83$ (<i>m</i>)	30.9	
3	$3.97 - 4.03$ (<i>m</i>)	68.1	$3.69 - 3.73$ (<i>m</i>)	69.1	
$\overline{4}$	$1.72 - 1.74$ (<i>m</i>), $2.09 - 2.11$ (<i>m</i>)	43.2	$1.41 - 1.45$ (<i>m</i>), $2.02 - 2.05$ (<i>m</i>)	41.7	
5		77.7		67.2	
6	3.38 $(d, J = 4.0)$	79.4	3.13(s)	67.5	
τ	3.69 (dd, $J = 10.0, 4.0$)	73.8	3.50 $(d, J = 6.0)$	74.8	
8	$1.63 - 1.67$ (<i>m</i>)	41.5	$1.38 - 1.44$ (<i>m</i>)	38.3	
9	$1.57 - 1.61$ (<i>m</i>)	45.5	$0.72 - 0.74$ (<i>m</i>)	49.7	
10		38.9		34.2	
11	$1.09 - 1.15$ (<i>m</i>), $1.80 - 1.82$ (<i>m</i>)	22.5	$0.88 - 0.92$ (<i>m</i>), 1.41 – 1.43 (<i>m</i>)	21.9	
12	$1.15 - 1.19$ (<i>m</i>), $1.73 - 1.75$ (<i>m</i>)	41.5	$1.12 - 1.17$ (<i>m</i>), $1.96 - 2.00$ (<i>m</i>)	39.5	
13		44.7		42.9	
14	$1.20 - 1.24$ (<i>m</i>)	56.8	$1.03 - 1.08$ (<i>m</i>)	55.1	
15	$1.34 - 1.40$ (<i>m</i>), $1.64 - 1.66$ (<i>m</i>)	28.2	$1.35 - 1.39$ (<i>m</i>), $1.92 - 1.94$ (<i>m</i>)	27.3	
16	$1.61 - 1.64$ (m), $2.00 - 2.02$ (m)	30.2	$1.26 - 1.30$ (m), $1.85 - 1.87$ (m)	28.9	
17	$1.15 - 1.17$ (<i>m</i>)	57.2	$1.04 - 1.07$ (m)	55.5	
18	0.73(s)	13.1	0.66(s)	12.0	
19	1.14(s)	17.7	1.01(s)	16.8	
20	$1.42 - 1.46$ (<i>m</i>)	39.6	$1.96 - 2.02$ (<i>m</i>)	39.9	
21	1.02 $(d, J=6.0)$	21.6	0.99 $(d, J=6.5)$	21.0	
22	5.22 (dd, $J = 15.0, 8.0$)	139.6	5.20 $(dd, J=15.5, 8.5)$	137.9	
23	5.30 $(dd, J=15.0, 8.0)$	127.4	5.27 $(dd, J=15.5, 8.5)$	126.4	
24	$1.92 - 1.97$ (<i>m</i>), $2.04 - 2.08$ (<i>m</i>)	41.8	$1.84 - 1.91$ (<i>m</i>), $1.91 - 1.93$ (<i>m</i>)	41.9	
25	$1.53 - 1.55$ (<i>m</i>)	29.8	$1.45 - 1.49$ (<i>m</i>)	28.5	
26	0.88 $(d, J=6.5)$	22.7	0.85 $(d, J=6.5)$	22.3	
27	0.88 $(d, J=6.5)$	22.8	0.85 $(d, J=6.5)$	22.3	
	^a) Recorded in CD ₃ OD. ^b) Recorded in CDCl ₃ .				

Table 1. 1H - and ^{13}C -NMR Data (500 and 125 MHz, resp.) of 1 and 2. δ in ppm, J in Hz.

two MTPA esters were assigned on the basis of their ¹H,¹H-COSY spectra. The $\Delta\delta_{\rm H}(S\!-\!R)$ values were then calculated. Following the MTPA rules [14], the absolute configuration at $C(3)$ of 1 was assigned as (S) . Therefore, the absolute configuration of was determined as (3S,5R,6R,7R,8S,9S,10R,13R,14S,17R,20R).

Verumbsteroid B (2) was also isolated as white, amorphous powder with a molecular formula $C_{27}H_{44}O_3$ as deduced from the HR-ESI-MS (*m/z* 439.3195 ([M + Na]⁺)). In the ¹³C-NMR (*Table 1*), four signals appeared at δ (C) 74.8, 69.1, 67.5, and 67.2, indicating the presence of four O-bearing C-atoms. Since the molecular formula accounted for only three O-atoms, it was deduced that two of the C-atoms mentioned must be attached to a common O-atom forming a cyclic ether ring. The oxirane structure of 2 was supported by the upfield shifts of $C(5)$ (δ (C) 67.2 in 2 vs. δ (C) 77.7 in 1) and $C(6)$ (δ (C) 67.5 in 2 vs. δ (C) 79.4, in 1) due to the shielding effect of the cyclic ether ring. Comparison of the NMR data ascertained 2 to be closely similar to $5\beta,6\beta$ epoxycholestane-3 β ,7 β -diol (6) [4]. The main significant difference was that two upfield C-atoms of 6 were replaced by a $C(22) = C(23)$ in 2. A combination of HMQC,

Fig. 2. 1H ,¹H-COSY (-), key HMB (-), and NOE (\blacktriangleright ⁻ \) correlations of 1 and 2

¹H,¹H-COSY and HMBC (*Fig. 2*) experiments confirmed the proposed structure and allowed us to assign all ¹³C and ¹H resonances. The $J(22,23)$ value (15.5) was indicative of the $(22E)$ -geometry for the C=C bond in 2. Therefore, the structure of 2 was identified as a 22,23-didehydro analog of 6.

The relative configuration of 2 was determined by analysis of its 1D-NOE spectrum (*Fig.* 2). In the selective NOE experiments, the irradiation of $H-C(3)$ resulted in the enhancements of $\mathrm{H}_a\mathrm{-C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{H}\mathrm{-C}(6)$ signals, and the irradiation of $\mathrm{H}\mathrm{-C}(7)$ resulted in the enhancements of $H-C(6)$, $H-C(9)$, and $H-C(14)$ signals, indicating that these H-atoms should be on the same face of the molecule. Irradiation of Me(18) caused enhancements of H-C(8), Me(19) and H-C(20) signals, and irradiation of Me(19) cause the enhancement of H_{β} -C(1) signal, implying that H_{β} -C(1), Me(18), Me(19), and H-C(20) should be placed on the other face of the molecule.

The absolute configuration of 2 was assigned on the basis of a common biogenesis with the co-isolated 1. There were four types of nucleus structures in the isolated compounds with moieties of 5-ene-3 β ,7 β -diol (a as 9), 5 β ,6 β -epoxy-3 β ,7 β -diol (b as 2, 6 and 7), 3β , 5α , 6β , 7β -tetrol (c as 1 and 3-5), and 7-ene- 3β , 5α , 6β -triol (d as 8). An oxidation route of the B-ring of four nucleus structures of $1-9$ is postulated in Scheme 2. The 5-ene-3 β ,7 β -diol moiety, **a**, is oxidized at C(5) and C(6) to form the corresponding epoxidation product **b**. Then, **b** is hydrated to form tetrol sterol **c**. Scheme 1. Preparation of Acetonide Derivative 10 of 1 and Its MTPA Derivatives

Finally, c is dehydrated to give the 7-ene- 3β , 5α , 6β -triol moiety d. Since the relative configuration of 2 has been already established, the absolute configuration of 2 is proposed as (3S,5S,6R,7R,8S,9S,10R,13R,14S,17R,20R).

Scheme 2. Oxidation Route of the B-Ring of the Four Nucleus Structures of $1-9$

Seven known analogs, 3 – 9, were also isolated and identified. It should be noted that globosterol (8) represents a rare naturally occurring sterol with an unprecedented 22,23-dihydro-25-methyl C_{10} side chain. Up to date, only two polyhydroxysterols possessing this type of side chain were isolated from natural resources [5] [15]. A plausible pathway that leads to this unusual side chain is proposed in Scheme 3. This side chain is probably derived from the 'normal' cholesterol side chain by two biological C-methylation steps [5]. The introduction of Me group into sterol side chain can occur by transfer of a Me group from (S) -adenosylmethionine, catalyzed by a sterol methyltransferase [16].

Scheme 3. Plausible Biosynthetic Pathway for the Unusual Terminal Side Chain of 8

The cytotoxic activities of compounds $1 - 9$ were evaluated against a panel of human tumor cell lines (HL-60, K562, HeLa, A-549, and HCT-116). Compounds 1 and $3-5$ showed cytotoxicities against five tumor cell lines with the IC_{50} values ranging from 2.76 to 9.62 μ m (*Table 2*). Among the active compounds, 1 showed the highest activity against HL-60, K562, HeLa, A-549, and HCT-116 with the IC_{50} values of 5.57, 4.04, 3.65, 6.87, and 2.76 μ m, respectively. Interestingly, 2 and 6-9 exhibited no cytotoxicity to any of the above cell lines. These results suggest that the cytotoxicity may be due to the presence of a 3β , 5α , 6β , 7β -tetrol moiety in the molecules of 1 and 3–5.

Compounds	Cell lines IC_{50} [μ M]						
	$HL-60$	K562	HeLa	$A - 549$	HCT-116		
1	5.57	4.04	3.65	6.87	2.76		
3	6.05	4.66	9.27	8.24	3.14		
$\boldsymbol{4}$	6.22	6.71	9.62	7.24	4.88		
5	8.91	6.57	9.1	8.49	5.21		
Aclarubicin	0.02	0.25	0.6	0.16	0.21		

Table 2. Cytotoxicities of 1 and 3-5 against Five Human Tumor Cell Lines

All isolated compounds, $1-9$, were also evaluated for their antibacterial activities against a panel of pathogenic bacteria, including Bacillus cereus, Tetragenococcus halophilus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas putida, Nocardia brasiliensis, and Vibrio parahaemolyticus. None of the tested compounds displayed any activity.

Conclusions. – In this study, nine polyhydroxylated sterols, 1 – 9, were isolated from the gorgonian *V. umbraculum*, of which 1 and 2 were new compounds. The absolute configuration of 1 was determined by application of the modified Mosher method. All the isolated compounds were evaluated for their cytotoxicities and antibacterial activities. Compounds 1 and 3 – 5 showed cytotoxicities against five human tumor cell lines, indicating that the 3β ,5a,6 β ,7 β -tetrol moiety in the molecules is important for cytotoxicity.

We appreciate the assistance of Prof. Hui Huang from South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for the identification of gorgonian species. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 41130858, 41322037, 81172977, and 41176121), the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program) (No. 2013AA093001), and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2011DQ019).

Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (200 – 300 mesh; Qingdao Marine Chemical Group) and Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham). TLC: Precoated silica gel GF_{254} plates (Yantai Zifu Chemical Group). Prep. HPLC: Hitachi L-2130 system coupled with Hitachi L-2455 photodiode array detector. UV Spectra: Milton-Roy spectrophotometer. Optical rotations: JASCO digital polarimeter. IR Spectra: *Nicolet-Nexus-470* spectrophotometer. NMR Spectra: $AVANCE NMR$ (500 (1H) and 125 MHz (^{13}C)) spectrometer. ESI- and HR-ESI-MS: Q-TOF Ultima Global GAA076 LC mass spectrometer.

Animal Materials. The gorgonian Verrucella umbraculum was collected off the coral reef of Weizhou Island in the South China Sea, P. R. China, in April 2010, and was identified by Prof. Hui Huang, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P. R. China. A voucher specimen (GXWZ-02) was deposited with the Key Laboratory of Marine Drugs, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, P. R. China.

Extraction and Isolation. The gorgonian V. umbraculum (1.1 kg, wet weight) was cut into small pieces and exhaustively extracted with EtOH (6×3000 ml) at r.t. by ultrasonic extraction method. The EtOH extract was evaporated to give a residue $(26.0 g)$, which was partitioned between AcOEt and H₂O. The AcOEt soln. was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a residue (15.0 g), which was subjected to CC (SiO₂; petroleum ether (PE)/AcOEt mixtures of increasing polarity) to offer six fractions, Frs. $1-6$. Fr. 3 was purified by CC (SiO₂; PE/AcOEt 5:1) to yield 9 (4.0 mg). Fr. 4 was submitted to CC (SiO₂; CHCl₃/MeOH 10 : 1), and further purified by semi-prep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 78 : 12) to give $2(5.0 \text{ mg})$, 6 (4.0 mg) , $7(18.0 \text{ mg})$, and $8(3.0 \text{ mg})$. Fr. 5 was separated by CC (SiO₂; CHCl₃/MeOH 7:1; followed by Sephadex LH-20; CHCl₃/MeOH 1:1), and further purified by semi-prep. HPLC (MeOH/H₂O 85:15) to afford 1 (5.0 mg), 3 (18.0 mg), 4 (4.0 mg), and 5 (3.0 mg).

Verumbsteroid A (= (3 β ,5 α ,6 β ,7 β ,22E)-Cholest-22-ene-3,5,6,7-tetrol; 1). White amorphous powder. $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_0^{25} = +54.4$ (c = 0.05, MeOH). IR: 3420, 2853, 1647, 1384, 1075. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see *Table 1*. ESI-MS: 457.3 $([M + Na]^+),$ 417.3 $([M + H - H_2O]^+),$ 399.3 $([M + H - 2H_2O]^+),$ 381.3 $([M + H 3 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$]⁺). HR-ESI-MS: 417.3356 ([$M + H - H_2\text{O}$]⁺, C₂₇H₄₅O₃⁺; calc. 417.3363).

Verumbsteroid B $= (3\beta,5\beta,6\beta,7\beta,22E) - 5,6$ -Epoxycholest-22-ene-3,7-diol; 2). White amorphous powder. $\lbrack a \rbrack_2^2 = +87.2$ ($c = 0.10$, MeOH). IR: 3352, 2861, 1649, 1144, 1086. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: see Table 1. ESI-MS: 439.4 ($[M + Na]^+$), 399.5 ($[M + H - H_2O]^+$). HR-ESI-MS: 439.3195 ($[M + Na]^+$ $C_{27}H_{44}NaO_3^+$; calc. 439.3183).

Preparation of the (R)- and (S)-MTPA Ester of 1. A soln. of 1 (2.5 mg) and TsOH (0.24 mg) in 2,2dimethoxypropane (0.2 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 4 h. NaHCO₃ (0.1 mg) was added to the mixture, which was then extracted with CHCl₃ (3×3 ml), and the CHCl₃ extract was evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by CC ($SiO₂$; PE/AcOEt 5:1) to afford the 6,7-acetonide derivative 10 (2.0 mg) [17]. To a stirred soln. of 10 (1.0 mg) in pyridine (500 μ) was added 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP; 2 mg) and $(+)$ -(S)- α -methoxy- α -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetyl chloride ((S)-MTPA-Cl; 8 μ). The mixture

was stirred at r.t. for 8 h. The mixture was then passed through a disposable pipet packed with $SiO₂$ and eluted with PE/AcOEt 10:1 to give the corresponding (R) -MTPA ester 10r. Treatment of 10 (1.0 mg) with (R) -MTPA-Cl (8μ) as described above yielded the corresponding (S) -MTPA ester, 10s [8] [11].

(3aR,3bR,5S,7aR,9aR,10R,12cR)-Hexadecahydro-2,2,7a,9a-tetramethyl-10-[(2R,3E)-6-methylhept-3-en-2-yl]-3bH-cyclopenta[1,2]phenanthro[9,10-d][1,3]dioxole-3b,5-diol (10). ¹ H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 5.26 (dd, J = 15.5, 8.5, H–C(23)); 5.20 (dd, J = 15.5, 8.5, H–C(22)); 4.05–4.09 (m, H–C(3)); 3.90 (dd, $J = 8.5, 5.0, H - C(7)$); 3.73 (d, $J = 5.0, H - C(6)$); 1.46 (s, Me(29)); 1.30 (s, Me(30)); 1.17 (s, $Me(19)$; 1.00 (d, $J = 6.5$, Me(21)); 0.86 (d, $J = 6.0$, Me(26), Me(27)); 0.68 (s, Me(18)). ESI-MS: 457.4 $([M+H-H_2O]^+).$

(S)-MTPA Ester $(=(3aR,3bR,5S,7aR,9aR,10R,12cR)$ -Hexadecahydro-3b-hydroxy-2,2,7a,9a-tetramethyl-10-[(2R,3E)-6-methylhept-3-en-2-yl]-3aH-cyclopenta[1,2]phenanthro[9,10-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl (2S)-3,3,3-Trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanoate; **10s**): ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 5.42 – 5.45 (m, $H-C(3)$; 5.26 (dd, $J=15.0$, 8.0, $H-C(23)$); 5.20 (dd, $J=15.0$, 8.0, $H-C(22)$); 3.91 (dd, $J=8.0$, 5.0, $H-C(7)$; 3.74 (d, J = 5.0, H–C(6)); 3.56 (s, Me (MTPA)); 2.21 – 2.25 (m, H_a–C(4)); 1.90 – 1.94 (m, $\text{H}_{\text{a}}\text{-}\text{C}(2)$); 1.84 – 1.93 (m, $\text{H}_{\text{b}}\text{-}\text{C}(4)$); 1.66 – 1.68 (m, $\text{H}_{\text{b}}\text{-}\text{C}(2)$); 1.62 – 1.64 (m, $\text{H}_{\text{a}}\text{-}\text{C}(1)$); 1.46 (s, Me(29)); $1.40 - 1.42$ (m, H_b-C(1)); 1.29 (s, Me(30)); 1.16 (s, Me(19)); 1.00 (d, J = 6.5, Me(21)); 0.85 (d, J = 6.0, Me(26), Me(27)); 0.67 (s, Me(18)). ESI-MS: 691.5 ([M+H]⁺), 713.5 ([M+Na]⁺).

 (R) -MTPA Ester $(=(3aR,3bR,5S,7aR,9aR,10R,12cR)$ -Hexadecahydro-3b-hydroxy-2,2,7a,9a-tetramethyl-10-[(2R,3E)-6-methylhept-3-en-2-yl]-3aH-cyclopenta[1,2]phenanthro[9,10-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl $(2R)$ -3,3,3-Trifluoro-2-methoxy-2-phenylpropanoate; **10r**): ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 5.42–5.46 (m, $H-C(3)$; 5.27 (dd, $J=15.0$, 8.5, $H-C(23)$); 5.21 (dd, $J=15.0$, 8.5, $H-C(22)$); 3.91 (dd, $J=8.0$, 5.0, $H-C(7)$; 3.72 (d, $J=5.0$, $H-C(6)$); 3.55 (s, MeO (MTPA)); 2.09 – 2.15 (m, $H_a-C(4)$); 1.92 – 1.96 (m, $\text{H}_{\text{a}}\text{-}\text{C}(2)$); 1.81 – 1.83 (m, $\text{H}_{\text{b}}\text{-}\text{C}(4)$); 1.78 – 1.80 (m, $\text{H}_{\text{b}}\text{-}\text{C}(2)$); 1.72 – 1.75 (m, $\text{H}_{\text{a}}\text{-}\text{C}(1)$); 1.45 (s, Me(29)); $1.41 - 1.45$ (m, H_b-C(1)); 1.28 (s, Me(30)); 1.17 (s, Me(19)); 1.00 (d, J = 6.5, Me(21)); 0.85 (d, J = 6.5, $Me(26), Me(27)); 0.67$ (s, Me(18)). ESI-MS: 691.5 ([M+H]⁺), 713.5 ([M+Na]⁺).

Cytotoxicity Assay. The cytotoxicities against HeLa (cervical cancer), HL-60 (human promyelocytic leukemia), A-549 (human lung epithelial carcinoma), HCT-116 (human colon carcinoma), and K562 (human erythroleukemia) cell lines were evaluated by using sulforhodamien B (SRB) [18] and 3-(4,5 dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) [19] methods, resp., according to the protocols described in the literature.

Antibacterial Activity Assay. Antibacterial activities were evaluated by the conventional broth dilution assay [20]. Eight pathogenic bacterial strains, B. cereus, T. halophilus, S. epidermidis, S. aureus, E. coli, P. putida, N. brasiliensis, and V. parahaemolyticus were used, and ciprofloxacin was used as a positive control.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. S. Sarma, M. S. Krishna, S. G. Pasha, T. S. P. Rao, Y. Venkateswarlu, P. S. Parameswaran, Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2803.
- [2] K. Shin, J. Chin, D. Hahn, J. Lee, H. Hwang, D. H. Won, J. Ham, H. Choi, E. Kang, H. Kim, M. K. Ju, S.-J. Nam, H. Kang, Steroids 2012, 77, 355.
- [3] Y.-C. Huang, Z.-H. Wen, S.-K. Wang, C.-H. Hsu, C.-Y. Duh, Steroids 2008, 73, 1181.
- [4] A. Rueda, E. Zubía, M. J. Ortega, J. Salvá, Steroids 2001, 66, 897.
- [5] J.-C. Qin, J.-M. Gao, Y.-M. Zhang, S.-X. Yang, M.-S. Bai, Y.-T. Ma, H. Laatsch, Steroids 2009, 74, 786.
- [6] H.-W. Lin, Z.-L. Wang, J.-H. Wu, N. Shi, H.-J. Zhang, W.-S. Chen, S. L. Morris-Natschke, A.-S. Lin, J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1114.
- [7] J. F. S. Carvalho, M. M. C. Silva, J. N. Moreira, S. Simões, M. L. S. Melo, J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 6375.
- [8] R. Li, C.-L. Shao, X. Qi, X.-B. Li, J. Li, L.-L. Sun, C.-Y. Wang, Mar. Drugs 2012, 10, 1422.
- [9] L. Li, L. Sheng, C.-Y. Wang, Y.-B. Zhou, H. Huang, X.-B. Li, J. Li, E. Mollo, M. Gavagnin, Y.-W. Guo, J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 2089.
- [10] L. Li, C.-Y. Wang, C.-L. Shao, Y.-W. Guo, G.-Q. Li, X.-P. Sun, L. Han, H. Huang, H.-S. Guan, Helv. Chim. Acta 2009, 92, 1495.
- [11] L. Li, C.-Y. Wang, C.-L. Shao, L. Han, X.-P. Sun, J. Zhao, Y.-W. Guo, H. Huang, H.-S. Guan, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 2009, 11, 851.
- [12] H.-Y. Zhao, C.-L. Shao, Z.-Y. Li, L. Han, F. Cao, C.-Y. Wang, Molecules 2013, 18, 3458.
- [13] C.-Y. Wang, J. Zhao, H.-Y. Liu, C.-L. Shao, Q.-A. Liu, Y. Liu, Y.-C. Gu, Lipids 2011, 46, 81.
- [14] I. Ohtani, T. Kusuni, Y. Kashman, H. Kakisawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4092.
- [15] J. Su, X. Yu, L. Zeng, T. C. W. Mak, J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 934.
- [16] M. Shaaban, K. A. Shaaban, M. A. Ghani, Steroids 2013, 78, 866.
- [17] M. Kawata, M. Tohma, T. Sawaya, M. Kimura, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1976, 24, 3109.
- [18] P. Skehan, R. Storeng, D. Scudiero, A. Monks, J. McMahon, D. Vistica, J. T. Warren, H. Bokesch, S. Kenney, M. R. Boyd, J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1990, 82, 1107.
- [19] T. Mosmann, J. Immunol. Meth. 1983, 65, 55.
- [20] G. Appendio, S. Gibbons, A. Giana, A. Pagani, G. Grassi, M. Stavri, E. Smith, M. M. Rahman, J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1427.

Received November 8, 2013